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Preface

The Language of Justice is a three-day program designed to train community and court interpreters who perform non-courtroom legal interpreting. Although this curriculum primarily addresses spoken interpreting, the ethical requirements and protocols discussed in this program will also benefit sign language interpreters. (Some of the skills involved and issues that may arise in legal interpreting will affect sign language and spoken interpreters differently.)

This program addresses legal interpreting performed outside the courtroom. The Language of Justice does not focus on in-court interpreted proceedings. Participants who have received 40 hours or more of professional interpreter training in any area (including conference, court, community/medical or general interpreting) are ideally suited to attend this program. The Language of Justice may also be presented to those who are new to the field of legal interpreting. The training manual for The Language of Justice is designed to help participants provide competent interpreting for legal services providers and can also serve as a training manual for interpreters who work in other non-courtroom legal or quasi-legal settings.

There are two core audiences for this manual: community interpreters and court interpreters. One goal of the curriculum is to ensure that community interpreters clearly grasp the differences between community and legal interpreting. Another objective is to help court interpreters work effectively in non-courtroom legal settings. The interpreters who graduate from this program should emerge with a clear understanding of the ethics, standards, requirements and role of the legal interpreter.

Currently, there is an urgent need for training programs for non-courtroom legal interpreters. Community interpreters, for example, often are expected to act as advocates or cultural brokers, and they may be tempted to do so even when they perform legal interpreting. Such activities may be acceptable in community interpreting, but they can be problematic and even prohibited in settings that involve attorneys, and/or paralegals, or in law enforcement and domestic violence settings, to name a few. All too often, community interpreters are sent out to perform legal or quasi-legal interpreting without any guidance on how legal interpreting differs from community interpreting. In many cases, they may even be unaware whether they are performing community or legal interpreting. Without clear guidance, an interpreter in legal settings may inadvertently have an adverse impact on the Deaf, Hard-of-Hearing or Limited English Proficient (LEP) individual’s case and could undermine the attorney’s effectiveness.

Conversely, few training materials for court interpreters discuss in depth how different legal interpreting is outside the courtroom, which is where most of a lawyer’s work is performed. For example, most court settings are adversarial and require a public record, whereas most encounters outside the courtroom are collaborative and private: the attorney and legal team, work actively on the client’s behalf to secure a just and beneficial outcome. Sometimes the rigid procedures and guidelines for court interpreting do not transfer well to other legal settings, such as attorney-client interviews. Interpreters need explicit guidance for such interviews since the legal outcome may depend on the quality and integrity of the interpreter’s performance.

This curriculum is dedicated to supporting all legal interpreters and showing how they can uphold the highest standards of the legal interpreting profession in collaborative settings like attorney-client interviews. The project was created and funded by lawyers through the D.C. Bar Foundation and administered by Ayuda, a nonprofit agency that provides legal services. It has benefited at every stage of its development from the direct input of attorneys
who work with limited English speakers and the Deaf and Hard of Hearing.

The authors wish to thank Ayuda and the D.C. Bar Foundation for the opportunity to collaborate on this groundbreaking project. We welcome feedback and hope that the program benefits legal interpreters everywhere. The goal of this project is to support quality interpreting and equal access to justice for the LEP community.
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Program Goal and Objectives

GOAL
To train community and court interpreters to interpret for nonprofit legal services providers

UNIT I
Procedures and Ethics (7 hours)
Objective 1: The interpreter will discuss the requirements for legal interpreters.
Objective 2: The interpreter will exhibit a sound understanding of relevant codes of ethics.
Objective 3: The interpreter will demonstrate the correct application of a code of ethics and standards of practice to ethical dilemmas and simulated encounters.

UNIT II
Linguistic Mediation (7 hours)
Objective 1: The interpreter will demonstrate sound decision-making about when and how to provide linguistic mediation.
Objective 2: The interpreter will model the steps for linguistic mediation.
Objective 3: The interpreter will develop linguistic mediation techniques and strategies.

UNIT III
Interpreting for Legal Services (6 hours)
Objective 1: The interpreter will demonstrate knowledge of the U.S. legal system.
Objective 2: The interpreter will model how to handle requests from clients and attorneys.
Objective 3: The interpreter will review the terminology required for non-courtroom legal interpreting.

Final Assessment (1 hour)
A written assessment will evaluate participants' knowledge of the curriculum.